| 1ID PAM 1-201 COMMAND INSPECTION CHECKLIST | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----|-------|--|
| FUNCTIONAL AREA: G1/AG | CHAPTER: 1 SECTION: M | DATE OF REVISION: 1 JAN 01 UNIT INSPECTED/DATE: | | | |
| PROPONENT/PHONE NO: G1/AG 350-6357/7336 | PROGRAM/ACTIVITY/TOPIC (PAT) PERSTEMPO | | | | |
| 330-0337/7330 | ITEM | | GO | NO-GO | |
| - | rogram is to ensure individual deployment da database in accordance with regulatory guid | | | | |
| Reference: | | | | | |
| a. Public law 106-65, FY00 Nati | ional Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) | | | | |
| b. Business Rules for the Leader | , Version 2.1, dated 26 Sep 00. | | | | |
| c. PERSTEMPO User's Manual | dated 2 Oct 00. | | | | |
| d. USAREUR Command Policy | Letter XXXX, PERSTEMPO Tracking. | | | | |
| e. USAREUR Regulation 10-5, 20 Sep 99. | HQ USAREUR/7A Organization and Respon | nsibilities, dated | | | |
| f. USAREUR memorandum, SU Implementation Plan, USAREUI | BJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System R/7A, dated XXXX | em | | | |
| Specific Questions: | | | | | |
| MSC | | | | | |
| | PERSTEMPO during subordinate unit CI/SA morandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Mar R/7A, dated XXXX? | | | | |
| a. Check for inspection result | s in the unit files. | | | | |
| b. Look for validation of syste | ems and noted deficiencies. | | | | |
| | should show how they track corrective action, this area should be evaluated as NO.) | ns. (If unit fails | | | |
| 2. Does the command have a pri | mary and an alternate PERSTEMPO User Acaph 5b(1), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: | | | | |

| | GO | NO GO |
|--|----|-------|
| ITEM | | |
| 3. Has the command appointed primary and alternate PERSTEMPO User Administrators for lower echelons IAW paragraph 5b(1), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX (appointment orders must be on file)? | | |
| 4. Does the command's homepage have a link to the USAREUR PERSTEMPO web site (http://144.170.241.12/perstempo/ptdefault.htm) IAW paragraph 5b(10), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| Subordinate Unit (At MSC level, inspect HQ company to review PERSTEMPO procedures). | | |
| 5. Does the unit have a primary and an alternate PERSTEMPO Clerk appointed on orders IAW paragraph 5b(1), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 6. Are deployment records for soldiers assigned being maintained for the duration of their assignment to the subordinate units IAW paragraph 5b(13), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 7. Is the unit commander's finance report (UCFR) being reviewed on a monthly basis to identify soldiers who are high deployers IAW paragraph 5b(10), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 8. Is the unit conducting PERSTEMPO forecasting IAW paragraph 5b(3), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 9. Does the unit have a program in place to ensure all soldiers are briefed on the provisions of the FY 00 National Defense Authorization Act IAW paragraph 5a(5), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 10. Has the unit POC received training IAW paragraph 12, USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 11. For soldiers deployed over 220 days, is written approval on file IAW. Business Rules for the Leader, Version 2.1, dated 26 Sep 00 and paragraph 10a(2), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |
| 12. Are procedures in place to brief PERSTEMPO information during quarterly training briefs IAW paragraph 5a(7), USAREUR memorandum, SUBJ: Army PERSTEMPO Management System Implementation Plan, USAREUR/7A, dated XXXX? | | |

| Rating standard (PAT: PERSTEMPO) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • Commendable – 90% of all items receive a "GO" rating. | | | | | | |
| • Satisfactory – 75%-89% of all items receive a "GO" rating. | | | | | | |
| • Needs Improvement – 74% and below of all items receive a "GO" rating | | | | | | |
| Inspector's comments mandatory for all "NO GO" items. (Attach additional sheets if necessary.) | | | | | | |
| NOTES: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| TIDD | IFIC | A 7 | $I \cap XI$ |
|--------|------|----------|-------------|
| \vee | | Δ | |

| X | |
|--|--|
| Unit POC's Signature, Name Rank, Date | |
| | |
| X | |
| Inspector's Signature, Name Rank, Date | |